

C  
J  
 DOCNO:  
 PRODUCER:  
 SOURCE: STATE  
 DOCTYPE:  
 DOR: 19840313  
 TOR: 13010000  
 DOCPREC: R  
 ORIGDATE: 198403131301  
 DOCCLASS: S

STATE DEPT. DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW  
 Retain class'n      Change/classify to \_\_\_\_\_  
 Declassify      with concurrence of \_\_\_\_\_  
 after \_\_\_\_\_  
 EO 12958, 25X  
 IPS/CR/IR by ~~SECRET~~ Date 6/10/01

HEADER  
 OO RUEAIIB  
 ZNY SSSSS ZOC STATE ZZH  
 OO RUEHC  
 DE RUEHC #3186 0730506  
 ZNY SSSSS ZZH  
 O 130500Z MAR 84  
 FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
 TO RUESNA/AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO IMMEDIATE 0000  
 INFO RUFHEB/AMEMBASSY BERLIN IMMEDIATE 0000  
 RUFHOL/AMEMBASSY BONN IMMEDIATE 0000  
 RUEHTV/AMEMBASSY TEL AVIV IMMEDIATE 0000  
 RUEHLD/AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE 0000  
 BT  
 EZ1:

CONTROLS  
 SR2

\S E C R E T STATE 073186\  
 EXDIS  
 EZ2:

~~FOR COORDINATION WITH~~ State

TEXT  
 SR3

E.O. 12356: DECL:OADR  
 TAGS: SHUM, PREL, CI  
 SUBJECT: DEMARCHE TO GOC ON WALTER RAUFF CASE  
 1. S - ENTIRE TEXT  
 2. ARA DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY MICHEL CALLED IN  
 CHILEAN CHARGEERRAZURIZ MARCH 12 TO REITERATE THE  
 DEMARCHE MADE BY AMBASSADOR THEBERGE TO CHILEAN FOREIGN  
 MINISTER DEL VALLE ON MARCH 6 IN SUPPORT OF THE FRG  
 REQUEST THAT THE GOC DEPORT ACCUSED EX-NAZI WALTER RAUFF.  
 3. MICHEL TOLDERRAZURIZ THAT IN THE CONTEXT OF THE  
 LONG-STANDING U.S. POLICY OF EXPLORING ALL AVENUES TO  
 BRING TO JUSTICE SUSPECTED NAZI-WAR CRIMINALS, THE UNITED  
 STATES STRONGLY SUPPORTS THE FRG REQUEST THAT THE-GOC  
 DEPORT RAUFF. MICHEL NOTED THAT RAUFF IS ONE OF -THE MOST  
 NOTORIOUS NAZI'S NOT YET BROUGHT TO JUSTICE AND THAT HE  
 IS ACCUSED OF DIRECTING THE EXTERMINATION OF OVER 90,000  
 INDIVIDUALS. THE UNITED STATES RECOGNIZES THAT THIS  
 MATTER WAS DEALT WITH BY THE CHILEAN SUPREME COURT.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
 CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
 SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3&28  
 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
 DATE 2001 2007

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

~~Classified and Approved for Release~~  
 by the Central Intelligence Agency  
 Date: 2001

**SECRET**

HOWEVER, MICHEL SAID THAT FRG REQUEST RE-OPENS THE QUESTION.

4. SECOND, MICHEL TOLDERRAZURIZ THAT WHILE THE SUPREME COURT'S EARLIER DECISION MIGHT COMPLICATE THIS ISSUE, THE CHILEAN CONSTITUTION OF 1980 HAS CREATED A NEW SET OF LEGAL CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH APPEAR TO GIVE THE GOC FLEXIBILITY WHERE NONE MAY HAVE EXISTED BEFORE.

5. MICHEL EMPHASIZED TOERRAZURIZ THAT THE U.S. RECOGNIZES THE GOC'S REJECTION OF TOTALITARIAN PHILOSOPHIES. HOWEVER, FAILURE TO RESPOND TO THE FRG REQUEST COULD RAISE PUBLIC DOUBTS ABOUT THE GOC'S POSITION. THE RAUFF CASE HAS RECEIVED A GREAT DEAL OF PUBLICITY. REJECTION BY THE GOC OF THE FRG REQUEST WILL CARRY SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE IMPLICATIONS FOR CHILE, PARTICULARLY GIVEN THE DISTINGUISHING LEGAL CONTEXT WHICH NOW EXISTS.

6. ERRAZURIZ RESPONDED THAT HE WOULD CONVEY MICHEL'S POINTS TO THE FOREIGN MINISTRY. HE THEN INDICATED THAT THE GOC WAS SURPRISED THAT THIS ISSUE WAS BEING RAISED 20 YEARS AFTER THE EXTRADITION CASE. NEVERTHELESS, HE SAID THAT HE WANTED TO MAKE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THAT THE GOC WAS OPPOSED TO NAZISM REGARDLESS OF WHAT ACTION WAS TAKEN ON THE RAUFF CASE. MICHEL SAID THAT WE UNDERSTOOD THIS POINT AND HAD NOTED THAT GOC OPPOSITION TO SUCH PHILOSOPHIES WAS MADE EXPLICIT IN THE 1980 CONSTITUTION.

7. ERRAZURIZ THEN SAID THAT AS THE GOC HAD TOLD SIMON WIESENTHAL, THE GOC HAS NO PROBLEM WITH REOPENING THE EXTRADITION CASE BASED ON NEW EVIDENCE. IN THE INTERIM, THERE ARE NO GROUNDS TO DEPORT RAUFF WHO HAS LIVED IN CHILE FOR OVER 25 YEARS AS A RESPECTABLE CITIZEN. DURING THIS PERIOD RAUFF HAS NOT PROSELYTIZED IN CHILE FOR NAZISM EITHER PUBLICLY OR PRIVATELY. HE HAS LIVED PEACEFULLY WITHIN THE LAW AND THERE DO NOT, THEREFORE, APPEAR TO BE ANY GROUNDS TO DEPORT HIM. ERRAZURIZ SAID THAT THESE POINTS WERE MADE BY THE FOREIGN MINISTRY TO THE FRG BY WAY OF A GENERAL RESPONSE TO THE FRG DEMARCHE. ERRAZURIZ THEN SAID THAT IT IS A MATTER OF SOME CONCERN THAT CHILE IS BEING URGED TO GO BEYOND THE LAW AND FURTHERMORE, THAT OTHERS ARE MAKING INTERPRETATIONS OF CHILEAN LAW, AN ACTION WHICH COULD BE INTERPRETED AS INTERFERING IN CHILE'S INTERNAL AFFAIRS.

8. MICHEL TOLDERRAZURIZ THAT IF THE SUPREME COURT DECIDED THAT RAUFF COULD NOT BE EXTRADITED BECAUSE THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAD RUN OUT, IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO FIND NEW EVIDENCE TO REOPEN THE CASE. ERRAZURIZ THEN COMMENTED ON THE HISTORY OF THE CASE, SUGGESTING THAT SINCE IT WENT TO THE APPEALS COURT THAT THERE WAS SOME POSSIBILITY OF RE-OPENING THE CASE. MICHEL NOTED THAT, IN TAKING THE DECISION TO SEEK RAUFF'S DEPORTATION, THE FRG UNDOUBTEDLY CONSIDERED THE OTHER LEGAL POSSIBILITIES AND DECIDED AGAINST THEM.

FOR VALID EVIDENCE TO BE INTRODUCED IN THE FIRST EXTRADITION CASE, MICHEL SAID THAT IT WAS DOUBTFUL THAT OTHER EVIDENCE COULD NOW BE SUBMITTED WHICH WOULD MEET THAT CRITERIA.

9. ON THE OTHER HAND, MICHEL REITERATED TOERRAZURIZ

**SECRET**

THAT THE CHILEAN CONSTITUTION OF 1980 APPEARS TO OPEN NEW POSSIBILITIES FOR DEALING WITH RAUFF. MICHEL THEN QUOTED TRANSITORY PROVISION 24, ARTICLE C WHICH ALLOWS FOR - PRESIDENTIAL EXPULSION FROM CHILE OF "THOSE WHO PROPAGATE DOCTRINES ALLUDED TO IN ARTICLE 8 OF THE CONSTITUTION, - (AND) THOSE ACCUSED OF BEING OR HAVE REPUTED TO BE ACTIVISTS FOR SUCH DOCTRINES...." MICHEL NOTED THAT THE CONSTITUTION DOES NOT ESTABLISH A TIMEFRAME FOR APPLYING THE STANDARDS OF PARAGRAPH C. WHILE THIS PROVISION MAY RAISE A QUESTION OF LEGAL INTERPRETATION, MICHEL NOTED THAT CLEARLY THERE WAS NO QUESTION OF ADVOCATING THAT CHILE ACT IN AN EXTRA-LEGAL MANNER. ON THE CONTRARY, WE ARE URGING THAT IT ACT IN COMPLIANCE WITH ITS - CONSTITUTION. WITH REGARD TOERRAZURIZ'S REFERENCE TO WIESENTHAL, MICHEL SAID THAT HE HOPED THATERRAZURIZ WAS AWARE OF WIESENTHAL'S RECENT MEETING WITH PRESIDENT REAGAN.

10. ERRAZURIZ SAID THAT IF HIS GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE WAS NEGATIVE IT WAS ONLY BECAUSE THE LAW DID NOT ALLOW IT. THE GOC'S POSITION ON CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY WAS WELL ESTABLISHED THROUGH VARIOUS RECORDED VOTES IN THE U.N. ERRAZURIZ SAID THAT HE HOPED THIS MATTER -WOULD NOT BECOME AN ISSUE IN U.S.-CHILEAN RELATIONS. THE U.S. MUST ACCEPT THAT THE RAUFF CASE IS A SOVEREIGN MATTER TO BE DECIDED BY THE GOC. THERE WERE OTHER MATTERS, OF WHICH MICHEL WAS AWARE, ERRAZURIZ SAID, THAT CLEARLY FELL;WITHIN THIS CONTEXT IN THE U.S. AND WHICH CHILE, WHILE-OBJECTING, HAD TO ACCEPT (A REFERENCE TO THE CONTINUING ARMS EMBARGO AND ABSENCE OF PRESIDENTIAL CERTIFICATION). -

11. MICHEL SAID THAT THE FRG REQUEST WAS NOW A MATTER OF RECORD TO WHICH THE GOC WOULD HAVE TO RESPOND. THE-U.S. HAS INDICATED ITS STRONG SUPPORT FOR THIS REQUEST IN THE CONTEXT OF OUR WORLD-WIDE CONCERN THAT NAZI WAR CRIMINALS BE BROUGHT TO JUSTICE. OUR POSITION AND THE FRG REQUEST WERE FACTS THE GOC WOULD NOW HAVE TO ADDRESS. WE ARE ASKING THE GOC TO EXAMINE ITS LAW TO SEE IF THIS REQUEST CANNOT BE GRANTED. OUR REQUEST IS CONSISTENT WITH THE POSITION, WHICH WE HOPE ALL NATIONS SHARE, THAT THESE CRIMINALS BE BROUGHT TO JUSTICE.

12. ERRAZURIZ SAID THAT THIS MATTER WILL BE DECIDED FIRST ON THE BASIS OF THE SELF-INTEREST OF CHILE AND SECOND IN COMPLIANCE WITH CHILEAN LAW.

13. MICHEL RESPONDED THAT THE OBJECT OF THE LAW IS JUSTICE. THE RESPONSIBILITY IN THIS MATTER IS TO SEE IF THE LAW CAN BE APPLIED TO FURTHER THE CAUSE OF JUSTICE. THIS IS THE BASIS FOR THE U.S. POSITION THAT NAZI WAR CRIMINALS SHOULD BE BROUGHT TO TRIAL. THE U.S. POSITION IS NOT SINGULAR TO CHILE. WE ARE PURSUING RAUFF NOT BECAUSE HE WAS FOUND IN CHILE, BUT BECAUSE HE WAS FOUND. THE U.S. WOULD MAKE THE SAME APPROACH WHEREVER SUSPECTED NAZI WAR CRIMINALS WERE TO BE FOUND. MICHEL ENDED BY ASKINGERRAZURIZ TO CONVEY THE U.S. POSITION TO HIS GOVERNMENT AND BY PROVIDING HIM WITH THE TEXT OF THE NON-PAPER ON RAUFF WHICH AMBASSADOR THEBERGE HAD GIVEN TO FOREIGN MINISTER DEL VALLE ON MARCH 6. SHULTZ